

GAP Santé
Groupe d'Analyse Psychosociale, uOttawa

**Developing collaboration in complex events:
A model for civil-military inter-organizational
problem-solving and decision-making**

16th ICCRTS: Collective C2 in Multinational Civil-Military Operations
Québec City - June, 2011

Approches and Organizations

Louise Lemyre, PhD, FRSC, & Celine Pinsent, PhD
GAP-Santé Research Unit, Institute for Population Health
University of Ottawa

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Contributors and Acknowledgements

Contributors:

- Lemyre, L., PhD; Pinsent, C., PhD; Johnson, C., B.A.H.; Boutette, P., M.A., B.Ed., M.B.A.; Comeil, W., ScD; Riding, J., B.A.A.; Lemus, C., Eng., M.Sc.; Blust, S., B.A.; Riding, D., B.A.

Acknowledgements:

- The model for inter-organizational problem-solving is situated within a broader work stream on Research Using in Vivo Simulation of Meta-Organizational Shared Decision-Making, itself one component of the Technology Innovation Fund project on Meta-organizational Decision-Making led by Dr. Paul Chouinard
- With the financial support of DRDC-CORA TIF Initiatives, SSHRC and The R.S. McLaughlin Foundation
- We also want to thank all of our various partners and collaborators, especially those of DRDC, Canadian Forces and emergency response of all sectors who have shared their experiences and through which we have gained a better understanding of the challenges.

© Lemyre et al., 2011

In vivo project overview

```

    graph TD
      A[PROJECT CONCEPTUALIZATION] --> B[LITERATURE REVIEW]
      A --> C[CASE STUDIES]
      B --> D[SHARED DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK]
      C --> D
      D --> E[QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS]
      D --> F[IN VIVO SIMULATION EXPERIMENT]
      E --> G[COMMUNICATION & DECISION-MAKING GUIDELINES]
      F --> G
      G --> H[USER-FRIENDLY KNOWLEDGE TOOL]
  
```

- Literature review included a survey of decision making practice across numerous types of organizations
- Case studies included both Canadian and international extreme events with multi-level, multi-jurisdictional interaction
- Qualitative analysis of the interviews as well as the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the *in vivo* simulation experiment currently underway

© Lemyre et al., 2011

In vivo project goals

- Develop a meta-organizational shared decision making framework
- Test the framework through *in vivo* simulation
- Develop psychosocial conceptual models on interagency collaboration and decision-making
- Explore mechanisms for overcoming social and cognitive barriers to interagency collaboration

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Literature review

- Literature review aimed at a broad understanding of:
 - Various types of organizational structures involved with problem-solving during complex events
 - Decision-making strategies used by different organizational structures
 - Key organizational characteristics such as types of authority, interaction and roles

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Case studies

Eastern Ontario & Quebec Ice Storm (1998)

Red River Floods (1997)

Kelowna Fires (2003)

SARS (2003)

Gander, Operation Sleepover (2001)

Blackout (2003)

Katrina (2005)

London Bombing (2005)

Tsunami (2004)

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Considerations in model development

- Model conceptualized within an extended timeline from pre-event to reconstruction

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Considerations in model development

- No one approach is “best”
 - Complex situations require diverse approaches
 - These approaches may combine, unroll in parallel, and interact in a recursive fashion.
- Decision-making is only one stage in the problem-solving process
 - Other stages include identifying the problem, defining the problem, generating solutions, decision-making, implementing solutions, and monitoring implementation
- Multi-disciplinary approach is appropriate
 - Integrates findings from diverse disciplines and fields of practice
 - Will lead to a more robust and relevant model

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Video: Shared Decision Making (SDM) Model

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Video: Shared Decision Making (SDM) Model

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Video: Shared Decision Making (SDM) Model

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Video: Shared Decision Making (SDM) Model

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Model of inter-organizational problem-solving

- Two main components:
 - Situational complexity; and
 - Inter-organizational approach to problem-solving
- Components are modified by problem-solving stage, and by available assets (power, resources, and information)

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Three factors contributing to situation complexity

- Three main factors of situational complexity:
 - The impact of the event, including actual, perceived and potential impacts;
 - The uncertainty of the situation; and
 - The vulnerability, or conversely, the resiliency of those who may be impacted

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Model component – approach to problem solving

- Coordination**
 - Emphasis on sharing of information, avoiding overlapping of tasks, and on efficiency
- Cooperation**
 - Emphasis on sharing of resources and filling gaps
- Collaboration**
 - Emphasis on sharing power and authority as well as shared decision making

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Modifier - stages of problem-solving

- Problem solving is an iterative process
- The stages modify the problem solving approach according to level of situation complexity

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Inter-GAP *in vivo* system

- "Hydra" style pods and simulations
- Examines interaction both within groups and between the groups

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Inter-GAP *in vivo* system

- Situated in a fictional mid-sized Canadian border town called "Gapville"
- Rad/nuc "dirty bomb" scenario
- Utilizes avatars created using Xtranormal

© Lemyre et al., 2011

Overall session composition for *in vivo* experiment

The diagram illustrates the experimental setup. At the top is the 'Control Room'. Below it are three 'POD' units (POD 1, POD 2, and POD 3). Arrows point from the Control Room to each pod, labeled 'Observation only'. Bidirectional arrows connect the pods to each other, labeled 'Inter-pod communication'. A box labeled 'Observation & int.' is connected to the pods.

- Sessions typically holds nine participants grouped three to a pod
- Participants drawn from three types of organizations
 - Military
 - ICS non-military
 - Non-ICS
- Homogeneous and heterogeneous session configurations

© Lemyre et al., 2011

GAP Santé
Groupe d'Analyse Psychosociale, uOttawa

DEFENSE

Questions? Comments?

© Lemyre et al., 2011

GAP Santé
Groupe d'Analyse Psychosociale, uOttawa

GAP Santé
Groupe d'Analyse Psychosociale, uOttawa

Louise Lemyre, Ph.D., FRSC
 School of Psychology, Faculty of Social Sciences
 Director of 'Groupe d'Analyse Psychosociale de la santé', GAP-Santé
 McLaughlin Research Chair on Psychosocial Aspects of Risk and Health
 Institute of Population Health
 University of Ottawa
louise.lemyre@uOttawa.ca
www.gapsante.uottawa.ca

© Lemyre et al., 2011